



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

C/K
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/763,978	04/25/2001	Susana Salceda	DEX-0172	3638
26259	7590	06/22/2005	EXAMINER	
LICATLA & TYRRELL P.C. 66 E. MAIN STREET MARLTON, NJ 08053			HELMs, LARRY RONALD	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

1642

DATE MAILED: 06/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/763,978

Applicant(s)

SALCEDA ET AL.

Examiner

Larry R. Helms

Art Unit

1642

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 May 2005.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 14-37 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 14-37 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/3/05</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 14-34, 36 have been amended.
2. Claims 14-37 are pending and under examination.
3. The text of those sections of Title 35 U.S.C. code not included in this office action can be found in a prior Office Action.

Rejections Withdrawn

4. The rejection of claims 14-37 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention is withdrawn in view of the amendments to the claims.
5. The rejection of claims 14-37 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement for the term "gene" is withdrawn in view of the amendments to the claims.

Claim Objections

6. Claim 14 is objected to because of the following informalities: It appears that the term "a" before "polynucleotide sequences" should be deleted to be consistent with claim 28. Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 1642

Response to Arguments

7. The rejection of claims 14-37 under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is not supported by either a substantial asserted utility or a well established utility is maintained.

The response filed 5/24/05 has been carefully considered but is deemed not to be persuasive. The response states there are numerous examples in the art for proteins in general wherein the mRNA levels correlate quite well with protein levels and cites some references and also cites Tringler and Salceda for providing evidence that the Ovr110, which is the protein expressed from SEQ ID NO:1, is expressed in cancer and detected by an antibody (see pages 11-14 of the response). In response to this argument, the art of Tringler and Salceda are post filing references and although they might demonstrate the protein encoded from SEQ ID NO:1 is expressed in cancer and detected with an antibody, the specification as filed did not teach the protein sequence or the open reading frame of SEQ ID NO:1. Therefore, there was no indication in the specification that the protein was expressed or even what the protein was and therefore one would not have known a utility for such a protein or how to use such an antibody directed to such. The specification does not teach a utility for use of the antibody to just any protein expressed by SEQ ID NO:1, 10-13, and 16 can be used for diagnosis or detection of cancerous vs. normal samples.

The instant application has failed to provide guidance as to how one of skill in the art could use the claimed invention in a way that constitutes a substantial utility. The proposed uses of the claimed invention are simply starting points for further research

Art Unit: 1642

and investigation into potential practical uses of the claimed antibodies and methods of using such antibodies. "Congress intended that no patent be granted on a chemical compound whose sole 'utility' consists of its potential role as an object of use-testing." *Brenner v. Manson*, 148 USPQ at 696.

8. The rejection of claims 14-37 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph is maintained. Specifically, since the claimed invention is not supported by either a substantial asserted utility or a well established utility for the reasons set forth above, one skilled in the art clearly would not know how to use the claimed invention.

9. The rejection of claims 14-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement is maintained.

The response filed 5/24/05 has been carefully considered but is deemed not to be persuasive. The response seems to only address the written description for "gene" (see page 15 of response) but not that the specification does not disclose the open reading frame or the protein sequence of any of SEQ ID NO:1, 10-13, or 16. Therefore applicants were not in possession of any protein encoded by SEQ ID NO:1 or just any fragments of SEQ ID NO:1 or SEQ ID NO:10-13, or 16. The response states that applicants were clearly in possession of the polynucleotide sequences set forth in the claims (see page 15 of response). In response to this argument, the polynucleotide

Art Unit: 1642

sequences of SEQ ID NO:1, 10-13, and 16 are not in dispute, the protein encoded from such polynucleotides is what applicant was not in possession.

Conclusion

10. No claim is allowed.


11. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Larry R. Helms, Ph.D, whose telephone number is (571) 272-0832. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 6:30 am to 4:00 pm, with alternate Fridays off. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Siew, can be reached at (571) 272-0787.

Art Unit: 1642

13. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 1600 via the PTO Fax Center. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The Fax Center telephone number is 571-273-8300.



LARRY R. HELMS, PH.D
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Larry R. Helms

571-272-0832